IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RAYMOND MARTINEZ, #1587137,	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
V.	§	3:12-CV-1431-B (BK)
	§	
RICK THALER, Director, TDCJ-CID,	§	
Respondent.	8	Referred to U.S. Magistrate Judge

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Special Order 3, this case was automatically referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. Petitioner, a Texas state prisoner, filed a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 naming as Respondent the State of Texas. Rule 2(a), of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, provides that "the petition must name as respondent the state officer who has custody" of the petitioner. Because Petitioner challenges a conviction that has resulted in his incarceration in the Correctional Institutions Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ-CID), its director has custody of him. Therefore, it is recommended that TDCJ-CID Director Rick Thaler be SUBSTITUTED as Respondent in this case.

SIGNED May 14, 2012.

RENÉE HARRIS TOLIVER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

A copy of this report and recommendation will be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. *See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).

RENÉE HARRIS TOLIVER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE